

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,855	BEALL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Svetlana Short.
Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004
Time: 3pm
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23,30-32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was noted to applicant's representative that it was not clear to what liquidus temperature was being referred to in claim 23. Additionally, it was noted to applicant that the term "said crystallinity" lacked antecedent basis and that it was not clear if the term "crystallinity" was being referred to the formed glass-ceramic or to the glass composition. Applicant agreed to cancel claim 43 as being to a distinct invention.